

**BEFORE THE HARYANA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION AT
PANCHKULA**

Case No. HERC/P. No. 64 of 2025

Date of Hearing : 07/04/2026
Date of Order : 14/05/2026

IN THE MATTER OF:

Complaint under Section 142, read with Section 146 & Section 149 of the Electricity Act, 2003 & Regulation 2.32 of HERC (Forum & Ombudsman) Regulations, 2020 for imposing penalty U/S 142 and institution of complaint U/S 146 of the Electricity Act, 2003 on account of non compliance of the order/ direction passed by Ld. Corporate Forum for redressal of Consumer Grievances (CGRF) Gurugram as well as continuing failure to comply with the direction of order number 4745/2024 dated 20-11-2024 by respondent(s) and for direction(s) to ensure strict compliance of the direction issued by the Corporate CGRF DHBVN Gurgaon vide order dated 20-11-2024 and to impose the penalty as per HERC (Standard of Performance of Distribution Licensee and Determination of Compensation) Regulation 2020 Schedule - II Sub Clause 2.

Petitioner

M/s Anjni Casting P Ltd Regd office 2/37, Ground Floor, Jangpura -A, New Delhi through its Director Mr. Dheeraj Aggarwal.

VERSUS

Respondent:

- Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited through its
1. Managing Director, DHBVN, Vidyut Sadan, Vidyut Nagar, Hisar
 2. SE CBO, DHBVN, Commercial Back Office, Data Center, Vidyut Sadan, Vidyut Nagar, Hisar
 3. SDO 'OP' Sub Division, DHBVN, Maharaja Agrasen road, Sohna
 4. XEN 'OP' Division Sohna, DHBVN, Maharaja Agrasen Road, Sohna.

Present

On behalf of the Petitioner

Sh. Akshay Gupta, Advocate

On behalf of the Respondent.

1. Ms. Aerika Singh, Advocate
2. Sh. Lovepreet Singh, Advocate
3. Ms. Priya Ahluwalia, Advocate
4. Sh. Vijendra Singh, SE/CBO, DHBVN
5. Sh. Gaurav Dahiya, XEN, DHBVN
6. Sh. Mukesh Gaur, SDO, DHBVN

QUORUM

Shri Nand Lal Sharma, Chairman
Shri Mukesh Garg, Member
Shri Shiv Kumar, Member

ORDER

1. **Petition:**

- 1.1 That the Anjni Casting P Ltd is (hereinafter referred to as company) registered under companies act having registered office at 2/37, Ground Floor, Jangpura-A, New Delhi -110014 and production unit at 126 Roz-Ka-Meo Industrial Area, Sohna Distt- Nuh-122103, Haryana,
- 1.2 That the company is the manufacturer of Plain & Alloy Steel, Casting and Industry spares etc.
- 1.3 That the company is having an electricity connection bearing account number 1850922000 (Old Account Number-INHT-0015) which falls under the jurisdiction of SDO op Sub Division Sohna, DHBVN.
- 1.4 That Mr. Dheeraj Aggarwal (hereinafter referred to complainant petitioner) is the director of the company and authorized by Board through a resolution for filing the present petition on behalf of our co Anjni Casting P Ltd.
- 1.5 That the complainant petitioner filed a complaint before Corporate CGRF, DHBVN GURGAON on dated 05.08.2024 and the same was instituted on 08.08.2024 vide case number 4745/2024 and prayed:
 - i. Declare the action of the respondent for adjusting the interest on ACD as per instruction of Nigam as illegal, arbitrary and unjustified and be quashed and:
 - ii. Direct respondent to refund the FSA charged in the month of Aug-2021.
 - iii. Direct respondent to adjust/ refund the interest on ACD which has not been adjusted so far, with penal interest @ 18% for the period interest accrued delayed.
 - iv. Direct respondent to adjust the difference of fix charges, excess charged for the period April-21 to June-21
 - v. Pass any other or further order which this Hon'ble Forum may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case in favour of Appellant Petitioner in the interest of justice.
- 1.6 That The Ld. Corporate CGRF DHBVN Gurgaon disposed off the case. The order passed by the CGRF held as under :
 - i. To adjust the interest on ACD for the period 2005 to 2024 as per his submission with penal interest Rs. 7,47,087/- for the period interest accrued delayed as per Nigam regulation, and refund the Fix charges and FSA., calculated as per his letter dt. 11.11.24 and as per observation.
 - ii. Also adjust the interest on ACD for the period 1996-2005 as per the calculation given along with the petition after verifying record on priority or based on bills.
- 1.7 That the complainant submitted an application (along with the calculation of due refund amount) to the respondent and requested for comply with the order.
- 1.8 That order dated 20.11.2024 is to be complied with in 21 days i.e by 11.12.2024, but the order was not complied with in toto.

- 1.9 That as per the submission of respondent SDO before CGRF and the direction(s) passed by Ld. CGRF vide order dated 20.11.2024 , total due refund was Rs. 2083961 (Rupees Twenty Lac Eighty Three Thousand Nine Hundred Sixty One), and interest/ penal interest calculated till Nov-2024. But respondent SDO adjusted the partial interest Rs. 632347.
- 1.10 That Order dated 20.11.2024 was to be complied within 21 days i.e by 11.12.2024 but it's been around 7 months since passing of order dated 20.11.2024 by Ld. Corporate CGRF DHBVN Gurgaon and the same has not been complied with by the respondent SDO in toto as the amount of interest on ACD along with penal interest for the period 1996 to 2024 , difference of fix charges and FSA as per the own submission of the respondent SDO has not been adjusted in the bill as directed by the Ld. Corporate CGRF DHBVN Gurgaon and there has been deliberate and willful disobedience of order dated 20.11.2024 passed by Ld. Corporate CGRF DHBVN, Gurgaon. Respondent is required to refund/ adjust complete due refund as per the CGRF order but the same has not been paid /adjusted in toto in the electricity bill of complainant's company.
- 1.11 That the respondent has failed to comply with the order passed by Ld. Corporate CGRF DHBVN Gurgaon and forced complainant petitioner to file the complaint Before Hon'ble HERC under Section 142 Read with Section 146 & Section 149 of Electricity Act-2003 for non-compliance of order passed by Ld. Corporate CGRF DHBVN Gurgaon dated 20.11.2024.
- 1.12 Electricity Act, 2003- Section 142 *"Punishment for Non-Compliance of directions by Appropriate Commission): in case any complaint is filed before the Appropriate Commission by any person or if that Commission by any person or if that Commission is satisfied that any person has contravened any of the provisions of this Act or the rules or regulations made, thereunder; or any direction issued by the Commission, the Appropriate Commission may after giving such person an opportunity of being heard in the matter, by order in writing, direct that, without prejudice to any other penalty to which he may be liable under this Act, such person shall pay, by way of penalty, which shall not exceed One Lakh Rupees for each contravention and in case if a continuing failure with an additional penalty which may extend to Six Thousand rupees for every day during which the failure continues after contravention of the first direction.*
- 1.13 Section 146: *"Punishment for Non-Compliance of orders or directions- Whoever, fails to comply with any order or direction given under this Act, within such time as may be specified in the said order or direction or contravenes or attempts or abets the contravention of any of the provisions of this Act or any rules or regulations made thereunder, shall be punishable with imprisonment for a term which may extend to three months or with fine which may extend to one lakh rupees, with both in respect of each offence and in the case of continuing failure, with an additional fine which may extend to five thousand rupees for every day*

during which the failure continues after conviction of the first such offence:

(Provided that nothing contained in this section shall apply to the orders, instructions or directions issued under section 121.)

Section 149 (1) Where an offence under this act has been committed by a company, every person who at the time the offence was committed was in charge of and was responsible to the company for the conduct of the business of the company, as well as the company shall be deemed to be guilty of having committed the offence and shall be liable to be proceeded against and punished accordingly:

(2) Provided that nothing contained in this sub-section shall render any such person liable to any punishment if he proves that the offence was committed without his knowledge or that he had exercised all due diligence to prevent the commission of such offence.

(3) Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-section (1), where an offence under this Act has been committed by a company and it is proved that the offence has been committed with the consent or connivance of or is attributable to any neglect on the part of any director, manager, secretary or other officer of the company, such director, manager, secretary or other officer shall also be deemed to be guilty of having committed such offence and shall be liable to be proceeded against and punished accordingly.

1.14 Regulation 2.32 of HERC (Forum and Ombudsman) Regulations, 2020 (Regulation 2.32):

“The decisions of the Forum will be recorded and duly supported by reasons. The Order of the Forum will be communicated to the complainant and the licensee in writing within 7 days of the passing of the Order. The licensee shall comply with the order of the Forum within 21 days from the date of receipt of the order. In appropriate cases, considering the nature of the case, the Forum, upon the request of the licensee, may extend the period for compliance of its order up to a maximum of three months. The aggrieved consumer may approach the Ombudsman who will provide the consumer as well as the licensee an opportunity of being heard and decide the appeal.

In case of non-compliance of the order of the appropriate Forum, the aggrieved consumer may approach the Commission who will provide the consumer as well as the Licensee an opportunity of being heard. The Commission may initiate proceedings under section 142 of the Act for violation of the Regulations framed by the Commission.”

1.15 That as per HERC (Standard of Performance of Distribution Licensee and determination of compensation) Regulation, 2020 Schedule – II Sub Clause 20 provides that in case of compliance of CGRF is not made within the time framed defined in such order or the regulations specified by the commission in this regard, the compensation of Rs.100/- per day or part thereof is payable.

1.16 That as per HERC Fee regulations 10-2005 with its subsequent amendment(s), the connection of the complainant of HT category and using for commercial purpose as such Rs. 50,000 (Rupees Fifty Thousand) is the court fee, which is being deposited.

Prayer:

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that considering the submissions brought out above, this Hon'ble Commission may kindly be pleased to:

i. Direct the respondent(s) to comply with order passed by the Ld. Corporate CGRF DHBVN vide order dated 20.11.2024

And:

ii. To impose penalty of Rs. 1 Lakh on respondent(s) under Section 142 of Electricity Act 2003 for failure to comply with the order / direction passed by Ld. CGRF on 20.11.2024 as well as continuing failure to comply with the directions and pay the dues to the complainant.

And:

iii. To direct institution of complaint under Section 142 RW Section 146 of Electricity Act, 2003 for failure to comply with the order / direction passed by the Ld. CGRF on dated 20.11.2024 as well as continuing failure to comply with the directions against the respondent SDO.

And:

iv. To direct the respondent(s) to pay compensation @ Rs.100/ Day for non-compliance of order passed by CGRF within 21 days.

And:

v. To award the penalty imposed on respondent(s) in favour of the complainant- petitioner.

And:

vi. Direct respondent(s) to pay Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rs. One Lac only) as court fee and litigation expenses.

And:

vii. To allow any other relief as deemed fit by the hon'ble Commission.

2. The case was heard on 15/10/2025, Ms. Sonia Madan counsel for the respondent submitted that she has been engaged in this case recently and requested for some time to file the reply. Sh. Akshay Gupta counsel for the petitioner submitted that the SDO has agreed to refund the amount as per order but the office of CBO not complied with the directions of CGRF. The Commission adjourns the matter and directs the respondent to file its reply with in three (3) weeks with advance copy to the petitioner and petitioner to file its rejoinder with in one week thereafter with advance copy to the respondent, further the SE/CBO is directed to appear in person on the next date of hearing.

3. Reply of respondents received on 03/12/2025:

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:-

3.1 That the present reply is being filed through Mukesh Gour working as SDO, Sohna on behalf of Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam, (hereinafter referred to as "DHBVNL"), who is competent to file the present reply as well as is fully conversant with the facts and

circumstances of the case on the basis of knowledge derived from the record.

- 3.2 That the present petition has been filed by the Petitioners under section 142, read with section 146 & 149 of the Electricity Act, 2003 & Regulation 2.32 of HERC (Fdrum & Ombudsman) Regulations, 2020 for imposing penalty u/s 142 and institution of complaint u/s 146 of the Electricity Act, 2003 on account of the alleged non-compliance of the order/ direction passed by Ld. Corporate Forum for Redressal of Consumer Grievances (hereinafter referred to as "Ld. CGRF"), as well as, the alleged continuing failure to comply with the order dated 20.11.2024 passed in case No DH/CGRF/4745/2024 by the respondents.
- 3.3 That the Ld. CGRF, Gurugram vide its order dated 20.11.2024, directed the Respondents as under:
"1) To adjust the interest on ACD for the period 2005 to 2024 as per his submission with penal interest Rs. 7,47,087/- for the period interest accrued delayed as per Nigam regulation, and refund the Fix charge and FSA., calculated as per his letter dt. 11.11.24 and as per observation.
2) Also adjust the interest on ACD for the period 1996-2005 as per the calculation given along with the petition after verifying record on priority or based on bills. "
- 3.4 That it is pertinent to submit that an amount of Rs. 6,32,347/- has already been refunded to the Petitioner, which fact is also admitted by the Petitioner in para 9 of the petition. However, the Petitioner, being dissatisfied and treating the said refund as only a partial refund, has filed the present petition. The Petitioner has enclosed a self-prepared calculation dated 23.11.2024 as Annexure P-3, wherein he claims that the refundable amount ought to be Rs. 20,83,961/-.
- 3.5 That, it is humbly submitted that after the receipt of the copy of the order dated 20.11.2024 the XEN, 'OP' Division, DHBVN, Sohna, vide Memo No. 6352 dated 02.01.2025, forwarded a letter to the SDO 'OP', S/Division, DHBVN, Sohna seeking advice in the matter pertaining to the case of the Petitioner. It was intimated therein that the order passed by the Ld. CGRF in Case No. 4745/2024 in favour of the consumer was to be implemented as per the instructions of the igam and after pre-audit by the local audit party, in continuation of the reply submitted by the SDO vide Memo No. 3807 dated 13.12.2024.
- 3.6 That in April 2025, a certificate regarding ACD refund was issued vide Memo No. SPL-I along with a calculation sheet reflecting that ACD interest payable amounted to Rs. 4,71 ,295/- and delayed interest to Rs. 1,61 ,051/-, totaling Rs. 6,32,347/-. Further, an amount of Rs. 83,458/- was also refunded towards Fixed Charges and FSA. Thus, the total refundable amount of Rs. 7,15,804/- was duly adjusted in the Petitioner's electricity bill for the month of May 2025. A copy of the relevant bill for the month of May, 2025 is annexed herewith and marked as Annexure R-1.
- 3.7 That upon receipt of notice of the present petition, the Respondent No. 3, i.e., SDO 'OP', S/Division, DHBVN, Sohna, again addressed a letter

vide Memo No. 2624 dated 29.09.2025 to the SE/CBO, Vidyut Sadan, Hisar, intimating that the Petitioner/Complainant is still not satisfied with the refund and has approached the Hon'ble Commission seeking full compliance. The relevant extract of the said communication is reproduced herein below:

"In the subject cited above it is hereby submitted that the Corporate CGRF passed the final order on 20/11/2024 in case no 4745/2024 regarding refund of interest on ACD for the said account. In compliance to that this office framed a sundry amounting Rs 7,47,087 /- with penal interest of Rs. 1173778/-. The total due refund amount was 1920865/-, the sundry for the same was uploaded after creating a BR case vide case ID no-9442443880 dated 21.03.2025 with the approval letter of XEN 'OP' Divn. Sohnâ but the same was revised by the CBO wing and new sundry was uploaded and an amount of Rs 6,32,347/- was adjusted against the account of the said complainant. The complainant is not satisfied with that and he has knocked the doors of Hon'ble Commission. It is hereby requested to provide the detailed clarification of revised calculation for interest on ACD so that the same may be apprised to the Hon'ble Commission as the, hearing date is fixed on 15/10/2025. Please take prompt action so that further necessary action can be taken without any further delay. "

A copy of the letter dated 29.09.2025 is annexed herewith and marked as Annexure R-2.

- 3.8 That further, fresh calculations were carried out by the CBO office, and as per the said calculations the total amount refundable comes to Rs. 20,04,323/-, the details calculation is reproduced hereunder for the kind reference of the Hon'ble Commission:

TOTAL AMOUNT REFUNDABLE	
ACD Interest from 2005-2024	Rs. 7,47,087/-
Penal Interest @18%	Rs. 11,73,778/-
FSA+FC	Rs. 83,458/-
Total Amount	Rs. 20,04,323/-

The detailed calculation sheet pertaining to the refund is annexed herewith and marked as Annexure R-3.

- 3.9 That as per the said calculations carried out by the CBO office, an amount of Rs. 7,15,804/- had already been refunded to the Petitioner, and an additional amount of Rs. 12,88,519/- was adjusted in the electricity bill for the month of November, 2025. A copy of the electricity bill for November, 2025 is annexed herewith and marked as Annexure R4.
- 3.10 That in view of the above submissions, it is respectfully submitted that the entire refund, as directed by the Hon'ble CGRF, has already been calculated afresh by the CBO office and fully released/adjusted in favour of the Petitioner. The total amount refunded and adjusted now stands at Rs. 20,04,323/- strictly in accordance with the applicable instructions.
- 3.11 That a perusal of the above facts demonstrates that the Respondents took immediate steps to initiate the refund in accordance with the order passed by the Ld. CGRF. However, due to an inadvertent and

unintentional computational error by the CBO office, an amount of Rs. 7,15,804/- was initially adjusted. It is respectfully submitted that upon the said error coming to the notice of the Respondents, prompt corrective action was undertaken to re-calculate and refund the balance amount. The Respondents have acted at all times diligently, in good faith, and without any delay. In view of the substantial compliance already effected, it is most humbly prayed that the present petition be dismissed.

3.12 That it is further submitted that the orders passed by the Hon'ble Commission are articles of faith for the Answering Respondent DHBVNL who has always endeavored to abide by the same in letter and spirit. At no point have the Answering Respondents willfully disobeyed any directive passed by Ld. CGRF. All actions undertaken have been bona fide and in public interest. It is respectfully submitted that the provisions relating to penal consequences, as sought to be invoked by the Petitioner, must be interpreted in light of the legislative intent underlying their enactment. Section 142 falls under Chapter XIV pertaining to 'Offences and Penalties', and the imposition of any penalty thereunder necessarily presupposes the existence of mens-rea or willful default on the part of the alleged violator.

In the present case, the pro-active and continuous actions undertaken point towards the Respondent's bonafide conduct. There is absence of the element of 'willful disobedience' on the part of Respondents, who had taken all the action in furtherance of the compliance of the orders.

PRAYER

In view of the facts and circumstances enumerated above and the bonafide conduct on the part of the Respondents, it is most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Commission may kindly:

- a. Take on record the compliance already effected by the Respondents and hold that the directions of the Ld. CGRF, stands fully complied with by the Respondents after due calculation, verification, and corresponding bill adjustment, AND
- b. Not impose any penalty/cost not to impose any penalty and/or cost as the delay, if any, was neither deliberate nor attributable to any willful default, but was occasioned due to circumstances beyond the control of the Answering Respondent, AND/ OR
- c. Pass any other order(s) deemed fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case, in the interest of justice.

4. The case was heard on 04/12/2025, Counsel for the respondent submitted that the compliance of the CGRF order dated 20/11/2024 has been made. Sh.Akshay Gupta counsel for the petitioner submitted that the CGRF order has been partially implemented as the ACD interest for the FY 1996 to 2005 has not been given. The Commission adjourns the matter and directs the petitioner to file his comments / objections with in one week with advance copy to respondent and respondent to file its reply on the observations with in three (3) weeks thereafter. Further the

SE/CBO, XEN and SDO are directed to appear in person on the next date of hearing.

5. Comments/Objections by Petitioner submitted on 01/04/2025:

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:

- 5.1 That the present comments are being filed in compliance of the directions issued by this Hon'ble Commission vide interim order dated 05.12.2025, whereby the Petitioner was directed to file comments/objections regarding compliance of CGRF order dated 20.11.2024.
- 5.2 That the submission of the Respondent that the CGRF order stands complied with is incorrect and misleading. The compliance, if any, is only partial and not in accordance with the directions of the CGRF.
- 5.3 That the primary issue of non-compliance pertains to non-payment of interest on ACD (Additional Consumption Deposit) for the Financial Years 1996 to 2005, which forms an integral part of the relief granted by the CGRF.
- 5.4 That the Petitioner has prepared a detailed calculation of the interest amount payable, based on applicable regulations and principles, and the same is annexed herewith as *Annexure E-1 (Excel sheet)*.
- 5.5 That as per the calculations annexed, the total interest amount payable to the Petitioner for the aforesaid period comes to Rs. 618494 (Rupees Six Lac Eighteen Thousand Four Hundred Ninety Four) , which has not been released till date.
- 5.6 That the failure of the Respondents to pay the said interest clearly amounts to Partial and incomplete compliance of CGRF order dated 20.11.2024 and Wilful disobedience attracting provisions of Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003.
- 5.7 That it is a settled principle that compliance of an order must be complete and not selective, and the Respondents cannot escape liability by implementing only a part of the order.
- 5.8 That the action of the Respondents defeats the very purpose of consumer grievance redressal mechanism and causes financial prejudice to the Petitioner.

PRAYER

In view of the above, it is most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Commission may be pleased to:

- A. Direct the Respondents to pay the pending ACD interest for FY 1996–2005 along with applicable interest till actual payment and;
- B. To direct institution of complaint under Section 142 RW Section 146 of Electricity Act,2003 for failure to comply with the order / direction passed by the Ld. CGRF on dated 20.11.2024 as well as continuing failure to comply with the directions against the respondent SDO and;
- C. To direct the respondent(s) to pay compensation @ Rs.100/ Day for non-compliance of order passed by CGRF within 21 days and;
- D. To award the penalty imposed on respondent(s) in favour of the complainant- petitioner and;

- E. Direct respondent(s) to pay Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rs. One Lac only) as court fee and litigation expenses and;
- F. To allow any other relief as deemed fit by the hon'ble Commission.

6. The case was heard on 07/04/2026, SE/CBO, XEN and SDO were present in the court. Sh. Akshay Gupta counsel for the petitioner submitted that the CGRF order has been partially implemented as the ACD interest for the FY 1996 to 2005 has not been given. The respondents submitted that the record pertaining to the period was not available and requested for time to submit reply to objections of the petitioner. The Commission directs the respondents to submit their averments and reasons for non-availability of the record within one week and reserves the order.

Commission's Order:

1. The Commission examined the petition in detail along with the reply, and rejoinder on record and heard the arguments of the Petitioner and Respondents in the above matter. No response is received from respondents to directions given on 07/04/2026.
2. The primary issue evolves the refund of ACD interest and penal interest for the period from 2005 to 2024. The petitioner initially claimed a total due refund of ₹20,83,961. The respondent admitted that only a partial refund of ₹6,32,347 was initially adjusted in May 2025. The respondent defended this delay as an "inadvertent and unintentional computational error" by the CBO office. Upon being served notice of the present petition, the respondent recalculated the dues and adjusted an additional ₹12,88,519 in the November 2025 bill, bringing the total adjusted amount for this period to ₹20,04,323, which included ₹7,47,087 as ACD interest, ₹11,73,778 as penal interest at 18% and ₹83,458 towards FSA & FC. While the respondent argued this constitutes substantial compliance, the petitioner pointed out that the implementation was delayed by nearly a year beyond the statutory 21-day limit.
3. The second issue remains the non-payment of ACD interest for the earlier period of 1996 to 2005. The CGRF had specifically directed the respondent to adjust this interest after verifying records on priority or based on electricity bills. The petitioner calculated this outstanding amount at ₹6,18,494. The respondent's averment during the hearing on 07/04/2026, was that the records for this period were unavailable. This defense is legally insufficient given the CGRF's explicit instruction to use consumer-provided record as an alternative for verification. The petitioner contended

this selective implementation defeats the purpose of the grievance redressal mechanism and causes financial prejudice.

4. The Commission finds that while the respondent has eventually adjusted the primary dues for the 2005-2024 period, the compliance was significantly delayed and remains incomplete regarding the 1996-2005 interest. The failure to honour the 21-day timeframe set by Regulation 2.32 is a clear contravention of established regulations. Further, the negligence on the part of officials/officers of Respondent Nigam cannot be ignored, due to which the consumer had to suffer and had to knock the doors of CGRF for relief in the first instance and then had to approach the Commission for implementation of CGRF orders
5. The Commission therefore directs the respondents to calculate and adjust the ACD interest for the period 1996-2005 within 30 days in terms of CGRF order and file a compliance report within 7 days thereafter.
6. The respondent DHBVN to make payment of ₹50,000/- Court Fee deposited by the petitioner along with ₹15,000/- towards litigation expenses to the petitioner within 30 days from the date of this order.
7. The petition is disposed of, in above terms.

This order is signed, dated and issued by the Haryana Electricity Regulatory Commission on 14/05/2026.

Date: 14/05/2026	Sd/-	Sd/-	Sd/-
Place: Panchkula	(Shiv Kumar)	(Mukesh Garg)	(Nand Lal Sharma)
	Member	Member	Chairman